



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/535,308	05/18/2005	Yoshiyuki Nezu	271369US6PCT	3701
22850 7590 01/11/2008 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			EXAMINER PIPALA, EDWARD J	
			ART UNIT 3663	PAPER NUMBER
			NOTIFICATION DATE 01/11/2008	DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com
oblonpat@oblon.com
jgardner@oblon.com

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/535,308

Applicant(s)

NEZU ET AL.

Examiner

Edward Pipala

Art Unit

3663

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 October 2007.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 6-11, 13 and 14 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-5, 12 and 15 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 18 May 2005 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/30/07.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office action is in response to the amendment and remarks filed 10/19/07.

Claims 1-15 are presently pending

Claims 6-11, 13 and 14 have been withdrawn from consideration.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. Applicant's IDS filed on 8/30/07 has been considered by the Examiner as indicated by the accompanying initialed copy of Applicant's form PTO-1449

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5, 12 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kunimatsu et al. (6,653,948) in view of Pint et al. (5,436,676).

As discussed in the previous non-final rejection, Kunimatsu et al. discloses an in-vehicle apparatus which displays at least a map relating to navigation and video content from a plurality of sources (see figure 1), where col. 2, lines 25-30 and 43-61 disclose the use of an operation menu corresponding to the screen which is being displayed depending on the traveling state of the vehicle. Namely, that if the vehicle is moving that for example when there are displayed items of operations that are not necessary or are

prohibited during traveling, that there is the possibility that such option may be erroneously selected. And that to carry out the desired operation after an operation item is erroneously selected it would then be necessary to return to the operation menu and try again. Column 3, lines 14-46 disclose that according to a fourth aspect of the invention of Kunimatsu et al., the output device is at least one of a navigation device, a VICS device and an audio device, wherein the display device displays a map indicating the position of the vehicle, the destination, and an operation menu for only the operation of items appropriate to displayed screen. It is further noted that at the bottom of col. 6, ll. 60+, it is taught that upon touching any region of the input pad 56 of the touch tracer 54 in a state where the map screen for navigation is displayed that navigation options are displayed, and that upon touching any portion of the display screen where the TV broadcast is being displayed that an operation menu for the TV broadcast is displayed. The top of column 7 of Kunimatsu et al. further discloses that the display of the main menu, operation menu for audio operation, operation menu for navigation and operation of the TV screen may comply with conventional methods of operation.

Kunimatsu et al., does not teach or disclose switch to a video display mode when a source operator is operated while in map display mode, nor switching to a video content display mode when a map operator is operated while in a map display mode, nor a second information display bar, in that figure 4A of Kunimatsu et al. presently only shows a first display bar with map information at the bottom of the screen.

Pint et al discloses that it is known in the art of television display remote controls to have a remote control which sends different command codes upon activation of the same button, depending on which mode the remote control unit is in at the time. Furthermore,

Art Unit: 3663

while not necessarily in the form of a "display bar", the same figure 4A also shows information about the video content of the display, in this case that the source is "TV 1 WIDE", located in the upper left hand area of display portion 72A.

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have implemented the teaching of Pint et al., within the context of the switchable navigation and TV display system of Kunimatsu et al., so as to select display of the other of the map or video display depending on which mode the display unit is currently being operated in, as well as to have a first display bar for map information and a second display bar for video information, where the second display bar would provide video information just as the present lower display bar of Kunimatsu et al. provides map information.

With respect to claim 2 which recites display of video content, display of a map , and display of both map and video in a dual screen by sequential and cyclical operation of a display switching operator, please note that Kunimatsu et al., already discloses a split video and map display in figure 4A and that such a cyclic rotation of display formats would be convention as discussed at the top of column 7 of Kunimatsu et al.

With respect to claims 3 and 4 which recite setting the size of a region for setting the size of a region for displaying the map and/or video content for each of the sources, please see col. 7, ll. 5-40 which teaches setting of display parameters in a multi-display system divided into right and left regions which simultaneously display data input from many different sources.

With respect to claim 5 which recites storing previously used display formats, please note that this is conventional practice when used with computer controlled display systems as in the navigation and video display device of Kunimatsu et al.

With respect to claim independent claim 12 which recites a content providing *method* in an apparatus which displays at least a map relating navigation and video content from a plurality of sources along with a first display bar for map information and a second display bar for video content at either the upper or lower edges of the display area, please see the above combination of Kunimatsu et al. and Pint et al., discussed at the beginning of this grounds of rejection in that the above discussed apparatus will perform the recited method steps of claim 12.

With respect to new dependent claim 15, which now recites a second (video) display bar with touch control for the video content, please see figures 4B and 4D of Kunimatsu et al. which show video control options on the screen, whereas the use of a touch screen in a vehicle navigation device is notoriously old in the art and of which Official Notice is taken.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 10/19/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive in that Applicant has already admitted that Kunimatsu et al. shows at least one display bar in figure 4A associated with map data, and since in the above grounds of rejection with respect to at least independent claim 1 the Examiner has shown that

Art Unit: 3663

Kunimatsu et al. also shows the use of video control information in figures 4B and 4D, wherein it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to display that video control information as a display bar at the top portion of the screen (in a similar manner as the map information shown at the bottom of the screen), so as to allow a user to see more of the video display while the control options are made available.

Conclusion

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward Pipala whose telephone number is 571-272-1360. The examiner can normally be reached on M-S 9:30 - 5:00.

Art Unit: 3663


If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Keith can be reached on 571-272-6878. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ejp



Edward Pipala
Examiner
Art Unit 3663



SUPPLEMENTAL EXAMINER